CSC418: Computer Graphics DAVID LEVIN

- 1. Texture mapping
- 2. Ray Tracing

Some slides and figures courtesy of Wolfgang Hürst, Patricio Simari Some figures courtesy of Peter Shirley, "Fundamentals of Computer Graphics", 3rd Ed.

Showtime

But First ... Logistical Things

- You should all have your Assignment 1 and Midterm Grades
- Assignment 2 is due this Friday
 - If you are still having troubles email the TAs
 - <u>csc418tas@cs.toronto.edu</u>
- Karan is still away so email me if you have any issues
 - <a>diwlevin@cs.toronto.edu (usually requires two emails)

Phong Shading: Comparisons

Phong shading:

1. Interpolate $\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i$ to get at $\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i$ 2. Compute, \vec{n}, \vec{s} \vec{p} $L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s})$





Phong Shading: Comparisons

Phong shading:

1. Interpolate to get at $\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i$ 2. Compute, \vec{n}, \vec{s} \vec{p} $L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s})$

Comparison to Gouraud shading

+ Smooth intensity variations as in Gouraud shading

 + Handles specular highlights correctly even for large triangles (Why?)

Computationally less efficient (but okay in today's hardware!) (Must interpolate 3 vectors & evaluate Phong reflection model at each triangle pixel)



Topic 1:

Texture Mapping

- Motivation
- Sources of texture
- Texture coordinates
- {Bump, MIP, displacement, environmental} mapping

Motivation

 Adding lots of detail to our models to realistically depict skin, grass, bark, stone, etc., would increase rendering times dramatically, even for hardware-supported projective methods.



Motivation

 Adding lots of detail to our models to realistically depict skin, grass, bark, stone, etc., would increase rendering times dramatically, even for hardware-supported projective methods.



Basic idea of texture mapping:

Instead of calculating color, shade, light, etc. for each pixel we just paste images to our objects in order to create the illusion of realism

Different approaches exist (e.g. tiling; cf. previous slide)





In general, we distinguish between 2D and 3D texture mapping:

2D mapping (aka *image textures*): paste an image onto the object

3D mapping (aka *solid* or *volume textures*): create a 3D texture and "carve" the object

3D Object



2D texture \leftrightarrow 3D texture



Topic 1:

Texture Mapping

- Motivation
- Sources of texture
- Texture coordinates
- {Bump, MIP, displacement, environmental} mapping

Texture sources: Photographs



Texture sources: Solid textures



Texture sources: Procedural



Texture sources: Synthesized



(i)





Kwatra et al, SIGGRAPH'05

Original



Synthesized



Original





Synthesized

Topic 1:

Texture Mapping

- Motivation
- Sources of texture
- Texture coordinates
- {Bump, MIP, displacement, environmental} mapping

Texture coordinates

How does one establish correspondence? (UV mapping)



Example: use world map and sphere to create a globe



Per conventions we usually assume $u, v \in [0, 1]$.

$$egin{array}{rcl} x &=& x_c + r\cos\phi\sin heta\ y &=& y_c + r\sin\phi\sin heta\ z &=& z_c + r\cos heta \end{array}$$

Given a point (x, y, z) on the surface of the sphere, we can find θ and ϕ by

$$\theta = \arccos \frac{z - z_c}{r}$$
 (cf. longitude)
 $\phi = \arctan \frac{y - y_c}{x - x_c}$ (cf. latitude)

(Note: arccos is the inverse of cos, arctan is the inverse of $\tan = \frac{\sin}{\cos}$)

For a point (x, y, z) we have

 $egin{array}{l} heta = rccos rac{z-z_c}{r} \ \phi = rctan rac{y-y_c}{x-x_c} \end{array}$

 $(heta,\phi)\in [0,\pi] imes [-\pi,\pi]$, and u, v must range from [0,1].

Hence, we get:

$$egin{array}{rcl} u &=& rac{\phi \mod 2\pi}{2\pi} \ v &=& rac{\pi- heta}{\pi} \end{array}$$

(Note that this is a simple scaling transformation in 2D)





Example: "Tiling" of 2D textures into a UV-object space



We'll call the two dimensions to be mapped u and v, and assume an $n_x \times n_y$ image as texture.

Then every (u, v) needs to be mapped to a color in the image, i.e. we need a mapping from pixels to texels.



A standard way is to first remove the integer portion of u and v, so that (u, v) lies in the unit square.



This results in a simple mapping from $0 \le u, v \le 1$ to the size of the texture array, i.e. $n_x \times n_y$.

$$i = un_x$$
 and $j = vn_y$

Yet, for the array lookup, we need integer values.



The texel (i, j) in the $n_x \times n_y$ image for (u, v) can be determined using the floor function $\lfloor x \rfloor$ which returns the highest integer value $\leq x$.

$$i = \lfloor un_x \rfloor$$
 and $j = \lfloor vn_y \rfloor$

$$c(u,v)=c_{i,j}$$
 with $i=\lfloor un_x
floor$ and $j=\lfloor vn_y
floor$

This is a version of nearest-neighbor interpolation, where we take the color of the nearest neighbor.



Nearest neighbor mapping



For smoother effects we may use bilinear interpolation:

$$c(u,v) = (1-u')(1-v')c_{ij} + u'(1-v')c_{(i+1)j} + (1-u')v'c_{i(j+1)} + u'v'c_{(i+1)(j+1)}$$



with
$$u' = un_x - \lfloor un_x
floor$$
 and $v' = vn_y - \lfloor vn_y
floor$

Notice that all weights are between 0 and 1 and add up to 1:

$$(1-u')(1-v')+u'(1-v')+\ (1-u')v'+u'v'=1$$

Topic 1:

Texture Mapping

- Motivation
- Sources of texture
- Texture coordinates
- {Bump, MIP, displacement, environmental} mapping

Mipmapping



MIP-Mapping: Basic Idea



Given a polygon, use the texture image, where the projected polygon best matches the size of the polygon on screen.

Mipmapping



Solutions: MIP maps

- Pre-calculated, optimized collections of images based on the original texture
- Dynamically chosen based on depth of object (relative to viewer)
- Supported by todays hardware and APIs

Mipmapping



... why not use this to make objects appear to reflect their surroundings specularly?

Idea: place a cube around the object, and project the environment of the object onto the planes of the cube in a preprocessing stage; this is our texture map.

During rendering, we compute a reflection vector, and use that to look-up texture values from the cubic texture map.



Environment mapping








Environment mapping





Remember Phong shading: "perfect" reflection if

angle between eye vector \vec{e} and \vec{n} = angle between \vec{n} and reflection vector \vec{r}

Environment mapping



Bump mapping

One of the reasons why we apply texture mapping:

Real surfaces are hardly flat but often rough and bumpy. These bumps cause (slightly) different reflections of the light.

 $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$

Real Bump

Fake Bump



Bump mapping

Instead of mapping an image or noise onto an object, we can also apply a **bump map**, which is a 2D or 3D array of vectors. These vectors are added to the normals at the points for which we do shading calculations.

 $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$

The effect of bump mapping is an apparent change of the geometry of the object.



Bump mapping

Major problems with bump mapping: silhouettes and shadows





To overcome this shortcoming, we can use a displacement map. This is also a 2D or 3D array of vectors, but here the points to be shaded are actually displaced.

Normally, the objects are refined using the displacement map, giving an increase in storage requirements.







Topic 2:

Basic Ray Tracing

- Introduction to ray tracing
- Computing rays
- Computing intersections
 - ray-triangle
 - ray-polygon
 - ray-quadric
 - the scene signature

- Computing normals
- Evaluating shading model
- Spawning rays
- Incorporating transmission
 - refraction
 - ray-spawning & refraction

Local Illumination Models

e.g. Phong

- Model source from a light reflected once off a surface towards the eye
- Indirect light is included with an ad hoc "ambient" term which is normally constant across the scene

Global Illumination Models

e.g. ray tracing or radiosity (both are incomplete)

- Try to measure light propagation in the scene
- Model interaction between objects and other objects, objects and their environment

Specular surfaces

- e.g. mirrors, glass balls
- An idealized model provides 'perfect' reflection Incident ray is reflected back as a ray in a single direction

Diffuse surfaces

- e.g. flat paint, chalk
- Lambertian surfaces
- Incident light is scattered equally in all directions

General reflectance model: **BRDF**



Categories of light transport

Specular-Specular

Specular-Diffuse

Diffuse-Diffuse

Diffuse-Specular

Traces path of specularly reflected or transmitted (refracted) rays through environmentRays are infinitely thinDon't disperseSignature: shiny objects exhibiting sharp, multiple reflections

Transport E - S - S - S - D - L.

Unifies in one framework

- Hidden surface removal
- Shadow computation
- Reflection of light
- Refraction of light
- Global **specular** interaction





Rasterization:

-project geometry onto image.
-pixel color computed by local illumination (direct lighting).

Ray-Tracing:

-project image pixels (backwards) onto scene.
-pixel color determined based on direct light as well indirectly by recursively following promising lights path of the ray.





A popular method for generating images from a 3D-model is projection, e.g.:

- 3D triangles project to 2D triangles
- Project vertices
- Fill/shade 2D triangle

Notice:

Ray tracing = pixel-based, proj. methods = object-based



For photo-realistic rendering, usually ray tracing algorithms are used: for every pixel

- Compute ray from viewpoint through pixel center
- Determine intersection point with first object hit by ray
- Calculate shading for the pixel (possibly with recursion)



- Global Illumination
- Traditionally (very) slow
- Recent developments: real-time ray tracing



Why ray tracing is important (even if you are just interested in real-time rendering):

- Recent developments: real-time ray tracing, path tracing, etc.
- Important in games for interaction
- Important computer graphics technique (also: shares many techniques with other approaches)













Projective methods & Ray tracing

- ... share lots of techniques, e.g., shading models, calculation of intersections, etc.
- ... but also have major differences, e.g., projection and hidden surface removal come "for free" in ray tracing

And most importantly ...



Projective methods vs. ray tracing

Projective methods:

Object-order rendering, i.e.

- For each object ...
- ... find and update all pixels that it influences and draw them accordingly

Ray tracing:

Image-order rendering, i.e.

- For each pixel ...
- ... find all objects that influence it and update it accordingly



FOR each pixel DO

- compute viewing ray
- find the 1st object hit by the ray and its surface normal \vec{n}
- set pixel color to value computed from hit point, light, and \vec{n}



We need to "shoot" a ray

- from the view point \vec{e}
- through a pixel \vec{s} on the screen
- towards the scene/objects

Hmm, that should be easy with ...



... a parametric line equation:

 $\vec{p}(t) = \vec{e} + t(\vec{s} - \vec{e})$

where

- *e* is a point on the line (aka its support vector)
- $\vec{s} \vec{e}$ is a vector on the line (aka its *direction vector*)



With this, our ray ...

- starts at \vec{e} (t = 0),
- goes throught \vec{s} (t = 1),
- and "shoots" towards the scene/objects (t > 1)



Hmm, calculation would become much easier if we would have ...

...a camera coordinate system:

That's easy! Using

- our camera position \vec{e}
- our viewing direction $-\vec{w}$
- and a view up vector \vec{t}

we get

- $\vec{u} = -\vec{w} \times \vec{t}$
- $\vec{v} = -\vec{w} \times \vec{u}$



...a camera coordinate system:

That's easy! Using

- our camera position \vec{e}
- our viewing direction $-\vec{w}$
- ullet and a view up vector $ec{t}$

we get

- $\vec{u} = -\vec{w} \times \vec{t}$
- $\vec{v} = -\vec{w} \times \vec{u}$



...a camera coordinate system:

That's easy! Using

- our camera position \vec{e}
- our viewing direction $-\vec{w}$
- and a view up vector \vec{t}

we get

- $\vec{u} = -\vec{w} \times \vec{t}$
- $\vec{v} = -\vec{w} \times \vec{u}$



Notice that we chose $-\vec{w}$ as viewing direction and not \vec{w} , in order to get a right handed coordinate system.


Normalizing, i.e.

- $\vec{w}/\|\vec{w}\|$
- $\vec{u}/\|\vec{u}\|$
- $\vec{v}/\|\vec{v}\|$

gives us our coordinate system.



With this new coordinate system we can easily define our viewing window:

- left side: u = l
- right side: u = r
- top: v = t
- bottom: v = b

Plus the viewing plane at a distance d from the eye/camera:

• distance: -w = d



Assuming our window has $n_x \times n_y$ pixels, expressing a pixel position (i, j)on the viewing window in our new coordinate system (u, v) can be done with a simple window transformation from $n_x \times n_y$ to $(r - l) \times (t - b)$:

$$u = l + (r - l)(i + 0.5)/n_x$$
$$v = b + (t - b)(j + 0.5)/n_y$$



Viewing window

Example for u: Transformation from

l = -500, r = 500 to $n_x = 100$





For perspective views, viewing rays

- have the same origin \vec{e}
- but different direction

If d denotes the origin's distance to the plane, and u, v are calculated as before, we can write the direction as

• $u\vec{u} + v\vec{v} - d\vec{w}$.

Our viewing ray becomes

• $\vec{p}(\alpha) = \vec{e} + \alpha(u\vec{u} + v\vec{v} - d\vec{w})$



Viewing rays

For orthographic views, viewing rays

- have the same direction $-\vec{w}$
- but different origin

We get the origin with the previously introduced mapping from (i, j) to (u, v):

$$u = l + (r - l)(i + 0.5)/n_x$$
$$v = b + (t - b)(j + 0.5)/n_y$$

and can write it as $\vec{e} + u\vec{u} + v\vec{v}$.

Our viewing ray becomes

• $\vec{p}(\alpha) = \vec{e} + u\vec{u} + v\vec{v} - \alpha\vec{w}$



Viewing rays for perspective views

• $\vec{p}(\alpha) = \vec{e} + \alpha(u\vec{u} + v\vec{v} - d\vec{w})$

with

- support vector \vec{e}
- direction vector $u\vec{u} + v\vec{v} d\vec{w}$

Viewing rays for orthographic views

• $\vec{p}(\alpha) = \vec{e} + u\vec{u} + v\vec{v} - \alpha\vec{w}$

with

- support vector $\vec{e} + u\vec{u} + v\vec{v}$
- direction vector $-\vec{w}$





FOR each pixel DO

- compute viewing ray
- find the 1st object hit by the ray and its surface normal \vec{n}
- set pixel color to value computed from hit point, light, and n



In general, the intersection points of $\vec{}$

- a ray $ec{p}(t) = ec{e} + tec{d}$ and
- an implicit surface $f(\vec{p}) = 0$

can be calculated by

$$f(ec{p}(t)) = 0$$

or
 $f(ec{e} + tec{d}) = 0$



The implicit equation for a sphere with center $\vec{c} = (x_c, y_c, z_c)$ and radius R is

$$(x-x_c)^2 + (y-y_c)^2 + (z-z_c)^2 - R^2 = 0$$

or in vector form

$$(\vec{p}-\vec{c})\cdot(\vec{p}-\vec{c})-R^2=0$$



Intersection points have to fullfil

- the ray equation $\vec{p}(t) = \vec{e} + t\vec{d}$
- the sphere equation $(x - x_c)^2 + (y - y_c)^2$ $+(z - z_c)^2 - R^2 = 0$

Hence, we get $(\vec{e} + t\vec{d} - \vec{c}) \cdot (\vec{e} + t\vec{d} - \vec{c}) - R^2 = 0$

which is the same as $(\vec{d}\cdot\vec{d})t^2+2\vec{d}\cdot(\vec{e}-\vec{c})t+(\vec{e}-\vec{c})\cdot(\vec{e}-\vec{c})-R^2=0$



 $(\vec{d} \cdot \vec{d})t^2 + 2\vec{d} \cdot (\vec{e} - \vec{c})t + (\vec{e} - \vec{c}) \cdot (\vec{e} - \vec{c}) - R^2 = 0$

is a quadratic equation in t, i.e.

 $At^2 + Bt + C = 0$

that can be solved by

$$t_{1,2}=rac{-B\pm\sqrt{B^2-4AC}}{2A}$$

and can have 0, 1, or 2 solutions.



Given a ray in parametric form, i.e.

$$\vec{p}(t) = \vec{e} + t\vec{d}$$

and a plane in its implicit form, i.e.

$$(\vec{p}-\vec{p_1})\cdot\vec{n}=0$$

we can calculate the intersection point by putting the ray equation into the plane equation and solving for t, i.e.

$$t=rac{(ec{p_1}-e)\cdotec{n}}{ec{d}\cdotec{n}}$$



Given a ray in parametric form, i.e.

$$\vec{p}(t) = \vec{e} + t\vec{d}$$

and a surface in its parametric form, i.e.

we can calculate the intersection point(s) by

 $\vec{e} + t\vec{d} = \vec{f}(u, v)$



Notice that

$$ec{e}+tec{d}=ec{f}(u,v)$$
 or $x_e+tx_d=f(u,v)$ $y_e+ty_d=f(u,v)$ $z_e+tz_d=f(u,v)$

represents 3 equations with 3 unknowns (t, u, v), i.e. a linear equation system.



This comes in very handy for ray-triangle intersections:

- We first calculate the intersection point of the ray with the plane defined by the triangle.
- Then we check if this point is within the triangle or not.



Recall that the plane V through the points \vec{a} , \vec{b} , and \vec{c} can be written as

$$p(ec{eta,\gamma})=ec{a}+eta(ec{b}-ec{a})+\gamma(ec{c}-ec{a})$$



Again, intersection points must fullfil the plane and the ray equation.

Hence, we get

$$\vec{e} + t\vec{d} = \vec{a} + \beta(\vec{b} - \vec{a}) + \gamma(\vec{c} - \vec{a})$$

That give us . . .



... the following three equations

$$egin{array}{rcl} x_e+tx_d&=&x_a+eta(x_b-x_a)+\gamma(x_c-x_a)\ y_e+ty_d&=&y_a+eta(y_b-y_a)+\gamma(y_c-y_a)\ z_e+tz_d&=&z_a+eta(z_b-z_a)+\gamma(z_c-z_a) \end{array}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$egin{array}{rcl} (x_a - x_b)eta + (x_a - x_c)\gamma + x_dt &=& x_a - x_e \ (y_a - y_b)eta + (y_a - y_c)\gamma + y_dt &=& y_a - y_e \ (z_a - z_b)eta + (z_a - z_c)\gamma + z_dt &=& z_a - z_e \end{array}$$

or as

$$egin{bmatrix} x_a-x_b & x_a-x_c & x_d \ y_a-y_b & y_a-y_c & y_d \ z_a-z_b & z_a-z_c & z_d \end{bmatrix}egin{bmatrix} eta \ \gamma \ t \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} x_a-x_e \ \gamma \ z_a-y_e \ z_a-z_e \end{bmatrix}$$

If we write

$$egin{bmatrix} x_a-x_b & x_a-x_c & x_d \ y_a-y_b & y_a-y_c & y_d \ z_a-z_b & z_a-z_c & z_d \end{bmatrix}egin{bmatrix} eta \ \gamma \ t \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} x_a-x_e \ \gamma \ z_a-y_e \ z_a-z_e \end{bmatrix}$$

as

$$A egin{bmatrix} eta \ \gamma \ t \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} x_a - x_e \ y_a - y_e \ z_a - z_e \end{bmatrix}$$

then we see that

$$egin{bmatrix} eta \ \gamma \ t \end{bmatrix} = A^{-1} egin{bmatrix} x_a - x_e \ y_a - y_e \ z_a - z_e \end{bmatrix}$$

We can use t to calculate the intersection point $\vec{p}(t)$ (or β, γ to calculate $\vec{p}(\beta, \gamma)$).

But first, we can use β and γ to verify if it is inside of the triangle or not:

- β > 0
- $\gamma > 0$
- $\beta + \gamma < 1$

because we can interpret these as barycentric coordinates.



Computing Ray-Poly Intersections: Step b

Key theorem: 2D plane P4 If P'inside every 2D half-line starting $\overline{P_{S}}$ l₃ l, at p' must intersect lz P, the polygon's boundary an odd # of times eg. 9'= 2(P'+ P2') Verification algorithm D pick any non-vertex point on boundary (2) define lines l through P', q' and li through Pi, Pi+,
(3) intersect l with each li (a) on same side of P', and (b) on polygon boundary (4) count intersections that are

Computing Ray-Poly Intersections: Step b

Key theorem: 2D plane vertices where the ray does not pierce the If p'inside every boundary are 2D half-line starting Not counted at p' must intersect the polygon's boundary an odd # of times eg. 9'= 2(P'+ P2') Verification algorithm D pick any non-vertex point on boundary (2) define lines l through P', q' and li through P', P', i, and li through P', P', and li through P', P', P', i, i) (4) count intersections a counting is a bit more involved if line l'intersects a polygon vertex

Computing Ray-Quadric Intersections



Computing Ray-Quadric Intersections



Computing Ray-Quadric Intersections: 3 Cases



Ray-Quadric Intersections: Sub-cases for Δ >0



Intersecting Rays & Composite Objects

- Intersect ray with component objects
- Process the intersections ordered by depth to return intersection pairs with the object.



Ray Intersection: Efficiency Considerations

Speed-up the intersection process.

- Ignore object that clearly don't intersect.
- Use proxy geometry.
- Subdivide and structure space hierarchically.
- Project volume onto image to ignore entire Sets of rays.